

## Beurling algebra analogues of the classical theorems of Wiener and Lévy on absolutely convergent Fourier series

S J BHATT and H V DEDANIA

Department of Mathematics, Sardar Patel University, Vallabh Vidyanagar 388 120,  
India  
E-mail: subhashbhaib@yahoo.co.in; hvdedania@yahoo.com

MS received 20 February 2002; revised 26 September 2002

**Abstract.** Let  $f$  be a continuous function on the unit circle  $\Gamma$ , whose Fourier series is  $\omega$ -absolutely convergent for some weight  $\omega$  on the set of integers  $\mathcal{Z}$ . If  $f$  is nowhere vanishing on  $\Gamma$ , then there exists a weight  $\nu$  on  $\mathcal{Z}$  such that  $1/f$  has  $\nu$ -absolutely convergent Fourier series. This includes Wiener's classical theorem. As a corollary, it follows that if  $\phi$  is holomorphic on a neighbourhood of the range of  $f$ , then there exists a weight  $\chi$  on  $\mathcal{Z}$  such that  $\phi \circ f$  has  $\chi$ -absolutely convergent Fourier series. This is a weighted analogue of Lévy's generalization of Wiener's theorem. In the theorems,  $\nu$  and  $\chi$  are non-constant if and only if  $\omega$  is non-constant. In general, the results fail if  $\nu$  or  $\chi$  is required to be the same weight  $\omega$ .

**Keywords.** Fourier series; Wiener's theorem; Lévy's theorem; Beurling algebra; commutative Banach algebra.

Let  $C(\Gamma)$  be the set of all continuous functions on the unit circle  $\Gamma$  in the complex plane  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let  $f \in C(\Gamma)$  such that the Fourier series

$$f \sim \sum_{n \in \mathcal{Z}} \hat{f}(n) e^{int}, \text{ where } \hat{f}(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{it}) e^{-int} dt \quad (n \in \mathcal{Z}),$$

is absolutely convergent. If  $f(z) \neq 0$  for all  $z \in \Gamma$ , then the Fourier series of  $1/f$  is also absolutely convergent. This is a classic Wiener's theorem ([1], §11.4.17, p. 33), a transparent proof of which by Gelfand (e.g. [2], p. 33) is often cited as the first success of the theory of Banach algebras. Lévy's generalization of Wiener's theorem states that if  $\phi$  is holomorphic on a neighbourhood of the range of  $f$ , then  $\phi \circ f$  also has absolutely convergent Fourier series ([1], §11.4.17, p. 33). We aim to discuss Beurling algebra analogues of these.

A *weight* on  $\mathcal{Z}$  is a map  $\omega : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  satisfying  $\omega(m+n) \leq \omega(m)\omega(n)$  for all  $m, n \in \mathcal{Z}$ . Let  $\rho(1, \omega) = \inf\{\omega(n)^{1/n} : n \geq 1\}$  and  $\rho(2, \omega) = \sup\{\omega(n)^{1/n} : n \leq -1\}$ . Then by ([2], p. 118),  $0 < \rho(2, \omega) \leq 1 \leq \rho(1, \omega) < \infty$ . A series  $\sum_{n \in \mathcal{Z}} \lambda_n$  is  $\omega$ -absolutely convergent if  $\sum_{n \in \mathcal{Z}} |\lambda_n| \omega(n) < \infty$ . A function  $f \in C(\Gamma)$  has  $\omega$ -absolutely convergent Fourier series ( $\omega$ -ACFS) if its Fourier series is  $\omega$ -absolutely convergent.

**Theorem.** Let  $\omega$  be a weight on  $\mathcal{Z}$ . Let  $f \in C(\Gamma)$ , which has  $\omega$ -ACFS.

(I) If  $f(z) \neq 0$  for all  $z \in \Gamma$ , then there exists a weight  $\nu$  on  $\mathcal{Z}$  such that:

- (a)  $1/f$  has  $v$ -ACFS;
- (b)  $v$  is non-constant if and only if  $\omega$  is non-constant;
- (c)  $v(n) \leq \omega(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathcal{Z}$ .

(II) Let  $\phi$  be a function holomorphic on a neighbourhood of the range of  $f$ . Then there exists a weight  $\chi$  on  $\mathcal{Z}$  such that:

- (a)  $\phi \circ f$  has  $\chi$ -ACFS;
- (b)  $\chi$  is non-constant if and only if  $\omega$  is non-constant;
- (c)  $\chi(n) \leq \omega(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathcal{Z}$ .

The present note contributes to a programme suggested some thirty years ago by Edward ([1], Ex. 11.15, p. 41). In the efforts made so far in this programme, conditions on a given weight  $\omega$  (e.g., the Beurling–Domar condition;  $\sum \frac{\log \omega(n)}{1+n^2} < \infty$  ([3], p. 185)) are sought, which ensure that  $g$  (which is either  $1/f$  or  $\phi \circ f$  whatever the case may be) has  $\omega$ -ACFS. Contrary to this, given an arbitrary weight  $\omega$ , we search for another weight  $\eta$  that ensure that  $g$  has  $\eta$ -ACFS. We shall derive (II) as a corollary of (I).

*Proof.* Let  $\ell^1(\mathcal{Z}, \omega) := \{\lambda = (\lambda_n) : |\lambda|_\omega := \sum_{n \in \mathcal{Z}} |\lambda_n| \omega(n) < \infty\}$ , the Beurling algebra. It is a convolution Banach algebra with norm  $|\cdot|_\omega$ . Let  $A(\omega) = \{g \in C(\Gamma) : \widehat{g} \in \ell^1(\mathcal{Z}, \omega)\}$ , the weighted Wiener algebra. It is a unital Banach algebra with the pointwise operations and the norm being  $\|g\|_\omega = |\widehat{g}|_\omega$ . Then  $g \in C(\Gamma)$  has  $\omega$ -ACFS if and only if  $g \in A(\omega)$  and if and only if  $\widehat{g} \in \ell^1(\mathcal{Z}, \omega)$ . Hence the Gelfand space  $\Delta(A(\omega))$  of  $A(\omega)$  is identified with the closed annulus  $\Gamma(\omega) = \{z \in \mathcal{C} : \rho(2, \omega) \leq |z| \leq \rho(1, \omega)\}$  via the map  $z \in \Gamma(\omega) \mapsto \phi_z \in \Delta(A(\omega))$ , where  $\phi_z(g) = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{Z}} \widehat{g}(n) z^n (g \in A(\omega))$ . Thus each function  $g$  in  $A(\omega)$  extends uniquely as an element (denoted by  $g$  itself) in  $B(\omega)$  consisting of all continuous functions on  $\Gamma(\omega)$  which are analytic in its interior.

(I) Let  $f \in C(\Gamma)$  have  $\omega$ -ACFS. Notice that  $\Gamma \subseteq \Gamma(\omega)$ . Let  $z \in \Gamma$ . Since  $f(z) \neq 0$ , there exists a neighbourhood  $N(z)$  of  $z$  in  $\Gamma(\omega)$  such that  $\phi_w(f) = f(w) \neq 0$  for all  $w \in N(z)$ . We can assume that  $N(z) = \{w \in \mathcal{C} : |w - z| < r_z\} \cap \Gamma(\omega)$  for some  $r_z > 0$ . By the compactness, there exist  $z_1, \dots, z_m$  in  $\Gamma$ , arrange in such a way that  $\arg z_i < \arg z_{i+1}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ ), such that  $\Gamma \subseteq U_1^m N(z_i) \subseteq \Gamma(\omega)$ . Now we define positive numbers  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  as follows:

- (i) If  $\rho(2, \omega) = 1 = \rho(1, \omega)$ , then take  $r_2 = 1 = r_1$ .
- (ii) If  $\rho(2, \omega) = 1 < \rho(1, \omega)$ , take  $r_2 = 1$ ; and for  $0 < \varepsilon < 1 - (1/\min\{s_1, \dots, s_m\})$ , take  $r_1 = (1 - \varepsilon) \min\{s_1, \dots, s_m\} > 1$ , where  $s_i = \max\{|z| : z \in N(z_i) \cap N(z_{i+1})\}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) and  $z_{m+1} = z_1$ .
- (iii) If  $\rho(2, \omega) < 1 = \rho(1, \omega)$ , take  $r_1 = 1$ ; and for  $0 < \varepsilon < (1/\max\{s_1, \dots, s_m\}) - 1$ , take  $r_2 = (1 + \varepsilon) \max\{s_1, \dots, s_m\} < 1$ , where  $s_i = \min\{|z| : z \in N(z_i) \cap N(z_{i+1})\}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) and  $z_{m+1} = z_1$ .
- (iv) If  $\rho(2, \omega) < 1 < \rho(1, \omega)$ , then take  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  as in (ii) and (iii) respectively.

Thus in any case,  $\rho(2, \omega) \leq r_2 \leq 1 \leq r_1 \leq \rho(1, \omega)$ . Define  $v : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  as follows: If  $\rho(2, \omega) = \rho(1, \omega)$ , then take  $v = \omega$ ; otherwise define

$$v(n) = \begin{cases} r_1^n & \text{if } n \geq 0 \\ r_2^n & \text{if } n \leq 0 \end{cases}.$$

It is clear that  $v$  is non-constant if and only if  $\omega$  is non-constant. Then the following holds:

- (1)  $\nu$  is a weight on  $\mathcal{Z}$ ,  $\rho(2, \nu) = r_2$  and  $\rho(1, \nu) = r_1$ ;
- (2)  $\Gamma(\nu) \subseteq \Gamma(\omega)$ ;
- (3)  $f(z) \neq 0$  for all  $z \in \Gamma(\nu)$ ;
- (4)  $1 \leq \nu(n) \leq \omega(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathcal{Z}$ .

Then by (4) above,  $A(\omega) \subseteq A(\nu)$ , and so  $f \in A(\nu)$ . Since  $f(z) \neq 0$  for all  $z$  in  $\Gamma(\nu) = \Delta(A(\nu))$ , it follows by the Gelfand theory that  $1/f \in A(\nu)$ , i.e.  $1/f$  has  $\nu$ -ACFS.

(II) Let  $K$  be the range of  $f$ . Let  $\varphi$  be a function holomorphic on a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $K$ . Let  $C$  be a closed rectifiable Jordan contour in the open set  $U$  containing  $K$ . Let  $\mu \in C$ . Then  $\mu \notin K$  and  $\mu 1 - f \in A(\omega)$ . By part (I), there exists a weight  $\eta$  (which is non-constant if and only if  $\omega$  is non-constant) such that  $\eta \leq \omega$  and the inverse  $(\mu 1 - f)^{-1}$  of  $(\mu 1 - f)$  belongs to  $A(\eta)$ . Now take  $R_\mu = (\mu 1 - f)^{-1}$ . Then its norm  $\|R_\mu\|_\eta$  is positive. Define  $N(\mu) = \{\lambda \in C : |\lambda - \mu| < \|R_\mu\|_\eta^{-1}\}$ . Then by the elementary Banach algebra argument, it follows that for every  $\lambda \in N(\mu)$ ,  $\lambda 1 - f = (\mu 1 - f)\{1 + (\lambda - \mu)R_\mu\}$  is invertible in  $A(\eta)$ . Thus  $N(\mu)$  is a neighbourhood of  $\mu$  in  $C$  such that for all  $\lambda \in N(\mu)$ ,  $\lambda 1 - f$  is invertible in  $A(\eta)$ .

Now by the compactness of  $C$ , there exist finitely many  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$  in  $C$  and weights  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n$  such that  $C \subseteq \bigcup_1^n N(\mu_i)$ , and for any  $\lambda \in C$ , the inverse of  $\lambda 1 - f$  belongs to  $A(\eta_i)$  for some  $i$ . Now define

$$r_2 = \max \{\rho(2, \eta_i) : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \text{ and } r_1 = \min \{\rho(1, \eta_i) : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$$

so that  $r_2 \leq 1 \leq r_1$ . If  $\rho(2, \omega) = 1 = \rho(1, \omega)$ , then by Part I, each  $\eta_i = \omega$ . If  $\rho(2, \omega) = 1 < \rho(1, \omega)$ , then  $\rho(2, \eta_i) = 1 < \rho(1, \eta_i)$  for each  $i$ , and so  $r_2 = 1 < r_1$ . Similarly, the cases  $\rho(2, \omega) < 1 = \rho(1, \omega)$  and  $\rho(2, \omega) < 1 < \rho(1, \omega)$  can be discussed. Now if  $\rho(2, \omega) = 1 = \rho(1, \omega)$ , then take  $\chi = \omega (= \eta_i)$ ; otherwise define  $\chi : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  as

$$\chi(n) = \begin{cases} r_1^n & \text{if } n \geq 0 \\ r_2^n & \text{if } n \leq 0 \end{cases}.$$

It is clear that  $\chi$  is non-constant if and only if  $\omega$  is non-constant. Then the following holds.

- (1)  $\chi$  is a weight on  $\mathcal{Z}$ ,  $\rho(2, \chi) = r_2$  and  $\rho(1, \chi) = r_1$ ;
- (2)  $\rho(2, \omega) \leq \rho(2, \eta_i) \leq \rho(2, \chi) \leq 1 \leq \rho(1, \chi) \leq \rho(1, \eta_i) \leq \rho(1, \omega)$  for all  $i$ ;
- (3)  $1 \leq \chi \leq \eta_i \leq \omega$  on  $\mathcal{Z}$  and hence  $A(\omega) \subseteq A(\eta_i) \subseteq A(\chi)$  for all  $i$ ;
- (4) For any  $\lambda \in C$ , the inverse of  $\lambda 1 - f$  belongs to  $A(\chi)$ .

Now the map  $\lambda \in C \rightarrow \varphi(\lambda)R_\lambda$  is a continuous map from  $C$  into the Banach algebra  $(A(\chi), \|\cdot\|_\chi)$ , where  $R_\lambda$  is the inverse of  $\lambda 1 - f$ . Hence the integral  $(1/2\pi i) \int_C \varphi(\lambda)R_\lambda d\lambda$  is in  $A(\chi)$  in the sense of  $\|\cdot\|_\chi$ -convergence and  $\varphi(f) = (1/2\pi i) \int_C \varphi(\lambda)R_\lambda d\lambda$ , where  $\varphi(f)$  is defined by the functional calculus in  $C(\Gamma)$ . Thus  $\varphi(f)$  has  $\chi$ -ACFS. It follows that  $\varphi(f)(e^{i\theta}) = (\varphi \circ f)(e^{i\theta})$  for all  $e^{i\theta} \in \Gamma$ .  $\square$

*Remarks.*

(1) Let  $\omega$  be any weight on  $\mathcal{Z}$  such that  $\rho(2, \omega) \neq \rho(1, \omega)$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is properly contained in  $\Gamma(\omega)$ . Let  $f \in C(\Gamma)$  have  $\omega$ -ACFS such that  $f(z) \neq 0$  for all  $z \in \Gamma$ , and  $f(z_0) = 0$  for some  $z_0 \in \Gamma(\omega)$ . Then the function  $f$  is clearly not invertible in  $A(\omega)$ , i.e.,  $1/f$  cannot have  $\omega$ -ACFS. For example, define  $\omega(n) = e^{|n|}$  ( $n \in \mathcal{Z}$ ) and let  $f(z) = z_0 - z$  ( $z \in \mathcal{C}$ ),

where  $1 < |z_0| < e$ . Then  $f$  has  $\omega$ -ACFS,  $\rho(1, \omega) = e, \rho(2, \omega) = 1/e$  and  $1/f$  does not have  $\omega$ -ACFS.

(2) Let  $\omega$  be a weight on  $\mathcal{Z}$  such that  $\rho(2, \omega) = 1 = \rho(1, \omega)$ . Then it follows from the proof that for any  $f \in C(\Gamma)$  having  $\omega$ -ACFS and satisfying  $f(z) \neq 0$  for all  $z \in \Gamma$ , the  $1/f$  has also  $\omega$ -ACFS. Examples of such weights include:

(i)  $\omega_\alpha(n) = (1 + |n|)^\alpha$ , where  $0 < \alpha < \infty$ ;

(ii)  $\omega(n) = 1 + \log(1 + |n|)$ ;

(iii)  $\omega(n) = (1 + |n|)^{\sqrt{1+|n|}}$ .

(3) Let  $f \in C(\Gamma)$  such that  $f$  have  $\omega$ -ACFS for every weight  $\omega$  on  $\mathcal{Z}$ . Suppose  $f(z) \neq 0$  for all  $z \in \Gamma$ . One would be tempted to know whether  $1/f$  has  $\omega$ -ACFS for every  $\omega$ . The answer is 'no'. For example, take  $f(z) = 2z + z^2$ , a trigonometric polynomial. Then the Fourier series of  $1/f$  is

$$\left(\frac{1}{f}\right)(z) = \frac{1}{2z} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^k$$

which fails to have  $\omega$ -ACFS for the weight  $\omega(n) = 2^{|n|+2} (n \in \mathcal{Z})$ .

## Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to the referee for a careful reading of the manuscript.

## References

- [1] Edwards R-E, Fourier Series, (New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston Inc.) (1967) vol. II
- [2] Gelfand I, Raikov D and Shilov G, Commutative normed rings (New York: Chelse Publication Company) (1964)
- [3] Reiter H and Stegeman J D, Classical harmonic analysis and locally compact abelian groups (Oxford: Clarendon Press) (2000)